1	Joseph W. Cotchett (SBN 36324) Mark C. Molumphy (SBN 168009)		
2 3	Tyson Redenbarger (SBN 294424) Gia Jung (SBN 340160)		
4	jcotchett@cpmlegal.com mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com		
5	tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com gjung@cpmlegal.com		
6	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP		
7	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010		
8	Tel: (650) 697-6000 Fax: (650) 697-0577		
9	. ,		
10	Francis Bottini (SBN 175783) Anne B. Beste (SBN 326881)		
11	Albert Y. Chang (SBN 296065) fbottini@bottinilaw.com		
12	abeste@bottinilaw.com achang@bottinilaw.com		
13	BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC. 7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102		
14	La Jolla, CA 92037		
15	Tel: (858) 914-2001 Fax: (858) 914-2002		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Rudy K. Thompson		
17	inoeys ye. i vanwyy iamy ii inopso		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION	
20	IN RE: 23ANDME, INC. CUSTOMER DATA	Case No. 3:24-md-03098-EMC	
21	SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION		
22 23	This Document Relates To: All Actions	DECLARATION OF GIA JUNG IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO	
23 24		CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 3-12 AND 7-11	
25			
26			
27			

28

I, Gia Jung, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, one of counsel for Plaintiff Rudy K. Thompson ("Plaintiff") in *Thompson v. 23 and Me, Inc.*, Case No. 3:24-cv-01418-AGT (N.D. Cal.) (the "*Thompson* Action"). Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11, I submit this Declaration in Support of Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. The *Thompson* Action was filed on March 8, 2024, and is currently assigned to Judge Alex G. Tse. *Santana v. 23andMe, Inc.*, No. 23-cv-5147-EMC (N.D. Cal.) was filed on October 9, 2023, and is the first-filed action. *In re: 23andMe, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 3:24-md-03098-EMC (N.D. Cal) ("*In re 23andMe*") is the centralized case consolidating the actions in the Northern District of California pursuant to the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation's April 11, 2024 transfer order. *In re 23andMe* is currently assigned to Judge Chen.
- 3. The *Thompson* Action and the actions consolidated in *In re 23andMe* involve the same Defendant, arise from the same event, and concern substantially the same facts. See Civ. L.R. 3-12(a)(1). Specifically, Plaintiffs are consumers that allege that their private personally identifiable information ("PHI") and personal genetic information ("PGI") was stolen from 23andMe by unauthorized persons in early October 2023 (the "Data Breach"). The cases are putative class actions seeking to represent substantially similar, if not identical, putative classes, and seek damages and other relief. As such, each action will require adjudication of substantially the same questions of law and fact.
- 4. Given these similarities, it "appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expenses of conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." Civ. L.R. 3-12(a)(2). Indeed, having these actions prosecuted separately would create an undue burden on the Court and parties and cause duplication of labor and expense, as well as lead to potentially conflicting results. Relating these actions will conserve judicial resources and ensure consistent results.
- 5. Concurrently with the filing of this Motion, the parties in the related actions will be served with copies of this motion and all supporting documents filed with it.

Case 3:23-cv-05147-EMC Document 99-1 Filed 04/19/24 Page 3 of 3

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California	
2	that the foregoing is true and correct.	
3	Executed this 19th day of April, 2024 in San Francisco, California.	
4		
5	/s/ Gia Jung	
6	GIA JUNG	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27		
۷8		

2

Declaration of Gia Jung in Support of Administrative Motion To Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related Pursuant To Civil Local Rules 3-12 And 7-11